

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

BARBARA DELUCA, DREW R. NAYLOR, and)
PEGGY ROLLO, on behalf of themselves and other)
similarly situated limited partners,)

Plaintiffs,

v.

GPB HOLDINGS, LP, et al.,)

Defendants.


Case No. 1:19-cv-10498-LAK-JW

~~PROPOSED~~ SCHEDULING ORDER

This matter came before the Court on the Auditor Defendants' Motion for Entry of Scheduling Order. It is hereby **ORDERED** that discovery and motions practice as it relates to the claims against the Auditor Defendants in this case shall be governed by the following schedule:

Event	Proposed Date
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) initial disclosures	February 10, 2023
Auditor Defendants' answers or motions to dismiss the Amended Class Action Complaint	March 6, 2023
Opposition to a motion to dismiss or reply to an answer	April 7, 2023
Replies in support of motions to dismiss	April 28, 2023
Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and Plaintiffs' disclosure of class certification expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	July 28, 2023
Auditor Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and disclosure of class certification expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	September 22, 2023
Plaintiffs' Class Certification Reply Brief	October 20, 2023
Close of fact discovery	March 1, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to identify experts and to serve all materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	April 4, 2024
Deadline for Auditor Defendants to identify responsive experts and to serve all materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	May 2, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve expert rebuttal reports	May 30, 2024
Close of expert discovery	June 20, 2024
Motions for Summary Judgment	July 18, 2024
Oppositions to Motions for Summary Judgment	August 29, 2024
Replies in Support of Motions for Summary Judgment	September 19, 2024

SO ORDERED, this 9 day of February, 2023


Jennifer E. Willis, U.S.M.J.

The Court adopts the Auditor Defendants' proposed schedule. Such schedule is reasonable in light of the case history, when the Auditor Defendants were added as parties, when the stay was lifted as to the Auditor Defendants, and the discovery that will be required in this action. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate docket numbers 177 and 178. SO ORDERED.